

**REMARKS**

Subsequent to the amendment filed on March 2, 2004, the present paper is being submitted.

Applicants would like to express their appreciation to the Examiner and her supervisor, Examiner Patel, for conducting a personal interview on January 13, 2004, with one of the applicants, Mr. Christopher Bogdon, and with applicants' representative, Mr. Alan M. Lenkin. During the interview (as reflected by the Interview Summary dated February 3, 2004) it was agreed that applicants would be canceling some claims and submitting a 1.131 affidavit (and at least a telephone log to support the affidavit) to overcome the references of record. Claims 2 - 5, 14, 15, and 20 have now been canceled. During the interview, Applicant and his representative explained the invention to the Examiner and her supervisor in accordance with the originally filed disclosure. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0098840 to HANSON et al. was also discussed during the interview.

Subsequent to the interview and while preparing a response to the outstanding Final Official Action, a Petition was filed on March 2, 2004, to claim priority from U.S. Patent Application No. 08/932,532, now U.S. Patent No. 6,418,324, filed on September 17, 1997. While preparing the response, Applicants reviewed the prior art and claims to be included in the response and it came to the Applicants' attention that the present

application should be a continuation-in-part of U.S. Patent Application No. 08/932,532, which is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Patent Application No. 08/456,860, instead of only related to this application, as disclosed in the originally filed application in paragraph [0002]. Applicants therefore immediately undertook action for filing the Petition to obtain the 35 U.S.C. §120 benefit of U.S. Patent Application No. 08/932,532 and its parent. Thus, it is believed that the present application is entitled to an earlier effective filing date for any subject matter supported by application nos. 08/932,532 or 08/456,860. In view of this earlier effective filing date possibility, a 1.131 affidavit (and supporting documentation, e.g., the phone log discussed during the interview) is not being submitted at the present time, pending the Examiner's review of the documents of record, including the patent used in the rejection and the above-noted document discussed during the interview.

In the Office Action of November 19, 2003, Claims 1 - 30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over ALLAIN et al. As discussed during the interview, the rejections of the outstanding claims should be withdrawn because ALLAIN et al. do not teach or suggest, amongst other recited features: a method including determining a designated wireless network that is associated with the selected application; a system comprising a mobile router that receives data from a selected application, the router comprising a port routing table containing information that specifies, based on at

least one characteristic of the data, over which wireless network the data should be routed; a system comprising a host network server that receives data from a selected application, the router comprising a port routing table containing information that specifies, based on at least one characteristic of the data, over which wireless network the data should be routed; or a computer readable medium storing a computer program, the medium comprising a source code segment that stores a port routing table containing information that specifies, based on the application's port number, over which wireless network the application's data should be routed.

With the present amendment, the specification has been amended to include a portion of U.S. Patent No. 6,198,929, to DOVIAK et al., issued on March 6, 2001, which had originally been incorporated by reference into the present specification. The amended specification includes changes to the figure numbers so that figures 19 - 27 (which correspond to figures 29 - 37 of U.S. Patent No. 6,198,929) continue from the last figure number, (i.e., 18) in the present application. Otherwise, the material included in the specification is the same material incorporated by reference. A declaration by applicants' representative, stating that the amendatory material consists of the same material incorporated by reference, is accompanying the present amendment.


With the present amendment, new claims 31 - 42 will have been added. Support for the new claims is provided throughout the originally filed specification. For example,

P21585.A15

see paragraphs [0126.020] and [0126.033], which were in U.S. patent no. 6,418,324 that was originally incorporated by reference. Therefore, no prohibited new matter has been added by the amendment.

Should there be any questions, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the below listed number.

Respectfully submitted,  
William DOVIAK et al.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RCS. No. 40,067

Neil F. Greenblum  
Reg. No. 28,394

April 15, 2004  
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.  
1950 Roland Clarke Place  
Reston, VA 20191  
(703) 716-1191